

1 COOLEY LLP
BENEDICT HUR (SBN: 224018)
2 (bhur@cooley.com)
JONATHAN PATCHEN (SBN: 237346)
3 (jpatchen@cooley.com)
MICHAEL ROME (SBN: 272345)
4 (mrome@cooley.com)
3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor
5 San Francisco, California 94111-4004
Telephone: +1 415 693 2000
6 Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222

7 ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR (SBN: 262026)
(eprelogar@cooley.com)
8 RAYMOND P. TOLENTINO (*Pro Hac Vice Pending*)
(rtolentino@cooley.com)
9 EPHRAIM MCDOWELL (*Pro Hac Vice Pending*)
(emcdowell@cooley.com)
10 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 700
Washington, District of Columbia 20004-2400
11 Telephone: +1 202 842 7800
Facsimile: +1 202 842 7899
12

Attorneys for Plaintiffs GOOGLE LLC
13 and YOUTUBE, LLC
14

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 SAN JOSE DIVISION
18

19 NETCHOICE,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as
Attorney General of California,

23 Defendant.
24

Case No. 5:24-cv-07885-EJD

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING
CASES**

Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12 and 7-11, Plaintiffs Google LLC and YouTube, LLC (together “YouTube”), Plaintiff NetChoice, and Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California (“State,” and together with YouTube and NetChoice, “Parties”), hereby stipulate that good cause exists to relate *Google LLC v. Bonta*, No. 5:25-cv-09795 (“YouTube Action”) to *NetChoice v. Bonta*, Case No. 5:24-cv-07885-EJD (“NetChoice Action” and collectively “Actions”) for the following reasons:

WHEREAS, YouTube intends to assert First Amendment claims with respect to California Senate Bill 976 (“the Act”);

WHEREAS, NetChoice asserts similar First Amendment claims with respect to the Act;

WHEREAS, these similar First Amendment claims are asserted against the same defendant in both Actions;

WHEREAS, the Actions concern substantially similar parties, factual allegations, legal claims, and requested relief;

WHEREAS, it appears likely that conducting the Actions in two courts simultaneously may lead to an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED AMONG THE PARTIES, SUBJECT TO THE COURT’S APPROVAL, that the Actions may be related pursuant to LCvR 3-12(b).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: November 13, 2025

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Elizabeth B. Prelogar
Elizabeth B. Prelogar

Attorney for Plaintiffs GOOGLE LLC
and YOUTUBE, LLC

Dated: November 13, 2025

LEHOTSKY KELLER COHN LLP

/s/ Jeremy Evan Maltz
Jeremy Evan Maltz

Attorney for Plaintiff
NETCHOICE

1 Dated: November 13, 2025

CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
OFFICE

2
3 /s/ Shiwon Choe

4 Shiwon Choe
Christopher Kissel

5 Attorneys for Defendant
6 ROB BONTA

7
8 **ATTESTATION OF COMPLIANCE**

9 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that the content of this document is
10 acceptable to all other signatories listed and that I obtained authorization to file this document,
11 indicated by a /s/ in lieu of signature(s) on this document.

12 Dated: November 13, 2025

13 /s/ Elizabeth B. Prelogar

14 Elizabeth B. Prelogar

15
16
17 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated:

19
20 Honorable Edward J. Davila
21 United States District Court Judge